

Radio Ranging Techniques to Test Relativistic Gravitation

R. Cowsik

*Indian Institute of Astrophysics,
Sarjapur Road, Bangalore - 560034, India
and*

*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai - 400005, India*

Abstract

It is suggested that modern techniques of radio ranging when applied to study the motion of the Moon, can improve the accuracy of tests of relativistic gravitation obtained with currently operating laser ranging techniques. Other auxiliary information relevant to the Solar system would also emerge from such a study.

Eversince Newton used precisely known orbit of the Moon to substantiate his theory of Universal gravitation, the study of its orbit has provided continual impetus in the formulation and testing of theories of gravity. Most remarkable of the modern developments in this context is the discovery by Nordvett that the passive gravitational mass of an object may not be the same as the inertial mass in the most general metric theories of gravity[1-3] Thus there exists the possibility, referred to as the Nordvett effect, that bodies with gravitational self-energy may in principle violate the Weak Equivalence Principle or the Universality of free-fall in a given gravitational field. Motivated in part by the desire to test the Nordvett-effect laser retroreflectors were placed on the Moon in 1969 and later again in 1971 by the Apollo-astronauts[4]. In 1973 the French-Russian collaboration added some more reflectors. These reflectors are used regularly ever since to obtain precise distances to the Moon by laser ranging. A laser pulse of width of ~ 200 psec. comprising of $\sim 10^{18}$ photons is beamed towards the Moon by an Earth-based optical telescope which also attempts to record the reflected photons after the two-way travel Δt time of about 2.9 seconds. The distance to

the Moon is given by $C\Delta t/2$, and the accuracy of this measurement (based on an ensemble of reflected photons) has been steadily improving from about 25 cm in the 1970's to about 3 cm at present[5].

Keeping in mind that under laboratory conditions, one can use lasers to determine spacings to a millionth of the wavelength of light, we may inquire as to why the accuracies of Lunar Laser Ranging(LLR) are limited to the values stated here. The primary reason for this is the extreme weakness of the signals received at the Earth station after reflection of the laser pulse at the Moon. In its outward journey the intensity of the photons decreases inversely as the square of the distance because of finite beam divergence $\geq 10^{-5}$ radians; consequently only a tiny fraction of these photons are intercepted by the retroreflectors. The photons reflected from the retroreflectors diverge even more than the incoming photons and suffer a decrease in intensity by another factor of r^{-2} . Thus the intensity of the received photons drops as r^{-4} so that only about 1 photon in about 3-4 seconds is recorded after the two-way travel. In the radio methods which we describe here the loss of intensity is confined to only one-way propagation so that the intensity drops only as $\sim r^{-2}$. These methods allow considerably greater accuracy and continuous coverage in performing the ranging observations.

Radio Ranging Techniques (RRT) are able to ameliorate this problem in several ways, primarily by not having to reflect the received signal from the Moon back to the Earth. Some of these methods were developed for establishing the Global Positioning System (GPS)[6]. Two carriers are broadcast from each of several transmitting stations stamped with a code at regular intervals giving the station location and accurate time, based on stable atomic clock. The receiver has a clock of modest stability which generates an identical code and cross-correlates this with the received signal to determine the time at reception and the phase of the carrier signal. After correcting for the special relativistic Doppler shift in frequency due to the relative motion of the satellites and the receiver station and the general relativistic change in frequency due to the difference in the gravitational potentials, one obtains the pseudorange and phase of the signal for each station which are still to be corrected for dispersion during propagation, clock errors and so on. The procedure used for deconvolving the received data to obtain the actual vector coordinates of the GPS receivers are described in detail in the book by A.Leick and in the IEEE Proceedings[6]. It suffices to state here

that even with the existing set of GPS satellites which broadcast at $\sim 1\text{-}1.5$ GHz ($\lambda=20\text{-}30$ cm) a differential positional accuracy of $\sim 1\text{-}2$ mm may be obtained with in several hours of observation using commercially available top of the line GPS receivers. One should emphasize that this accuracy is for a differential measurement with respect to some fixed station albeit a few thousand kilometers away. The contributors to the error budget in such a measurement are many, the uncorrected part of propagation delays due to the finite refractive indices of the ionosphere and the troposphere, multiple path effects, uncertainties in the orbital location of the satellites, finite signal to noise ratio of the reception and so on. At the same time one should recognize that it is the 3-dimensional position of the receiver which is measured. When GPS-type receivers are placed on the Moon and the same set of satellites are used for Lunar Radio Ranging (LLR) then because of the larger distances involved the radio intensities would considerably be weaker and correspondingly the signal to noise ratio will drop below the value achieved by the Earth-bound receivers. This drop in S/N ratio is by about a factor of 400 so that one has to integrate for several days to achieve the same level of accuracies in position determination. Notice however the advantage of radio ranging over laser ranging in that the GPS receiver placed on the Moon determines its own position and velocity by merely receiving the signals from the several transmitters and there is no need to reflect the incoming signal as in the case of laser ranging, with concomittant decrease in the signal strength and the achieved accuracies in position determination. All that the radio instrument on the Moon does is to send by telemetry at regular intervals the position and velocity determined during each cycle of integration. This means that in radio ranging the signals drop only as r^{-2} and not as severely as r^{-4} as for laser ranging. Further it is possible to deploy GPS-type receivers with dish antennas of about 1 m in diameter and thus improve the signal to noise ratio of the reception and consequently the measurement accuracy considerably.

It is perhaps easier to design a dedicated LRR with several antennas of moderate size deployed at suitably chosen stations on the Earth tuned at centimetre wavelengths which beam their signals at the receivers on the Moon. The cm wavelengths would have the advantage of relatively small ionospheric contribution and negligible tropospheric attenuation due to water vapour. In such a system, it would be beneficial to have a transponder which would suitably shift the signal to a reduced downlink frequency and amplify the signal before re-transmitting to the Earth. By comparing the phases of the received signals with those of

the transmitted signals, it is possible to determine the velocity of the Moon with respect to the Earth station. By using multiple frequencies and phase-comparing difference frequencies, the system can be made immune to random phase jitter at the translation oscillator and clock errors. By employing such a technique on a geostationary satellite the line of sight velocity of the satellite has been measured recently to an accuracy of 0.1 cm/s with a few seconds of integration (N.V.G. Sarma and C.R.Subrahmanya, Private Communication). Thus from these signals which reach the Moon with negligible dispersion in the ionosphere (because the dispersion drops as ν^{-2}) each receiver will be able to determine its vector position and velocity with considerably greater accuracy than what has been possible with LLR. The point that is being made here is not that there is any fundamental difference between the use of radio-waves in contrast with optical photons of the lasers; it is just that in its present form the radio technique incorporates the well established technology of “intelligent” analysis of the signal received on the Moon by the receiver. In principle, a similar technique could be achieved with the laser signals also.

Despite the r^{-4} drop in the laser signal, which limits the LLR capabilities the extraordinarily long stretch of the data string extending over more than two decades has provided the most stringent constraints as yet on the Universality of free-fall and the independence of this acceleration on the gravitational self-energy content of the body. To review these note that the LLR observations yield[5,7,8]

$$\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{a_{\text{Earth}} - a_{\text{Moon}}}{a_{\text{Earth}} + a_{\text{Moon}}} \right| \leq 5 \times 10^{-13} \quad (1)$$

where ‘ a_i ’ represent the accelerations suffered by the bodies in the gravitational field of the Sun. Since the gravitational self energy of the Earth is a greater fraction of its restmass compared to that of the Moon, with

$$\left| \left(\frac{U}{mc^2} \right)_{\text{Earth}} - \left(\frac{U}{mc^2} \right)_{\text{Moon}} \right| = 4.5 \times 10^{-10} \quad (2)$$

the constraint on η representing any dependence on the gravitational accelerations on self energy turns out to be

$$\eta \leq 10^{-3} \quad (3)$$

This would represent a test of the Nordvett effect at the 10^{-3} level, only when we can rule out a fortuitous cancellation of the effect by a corresponding violation of the weak

equivalence principle induced by the differences in the composition of the Earth and the Moon. Since the laboratory experiments[9,10] rule out such composition dependent effects only at the 5×10^{-12} level the LLR data, without any extra assumptions yield the strict bound

$$\eta = (4\beta - \gamma - 3) \leq 10^{-2} \quad (4)$$

where β and γ are the standard PPN-parameters[3].

Considering the orbital angular momentum vector of the Moon to represent a gyroscope the LLR data also confirms the geodetic precession of this gyroscope in the field of the Sun to within 0.7 % of the theoretically estimated value of 19.2 milliarcsecond per year.

Finally LLR imposes the best limits on any secular decrease in the value of the gravitational constant, say according to Dirac's large number hypothesis. Noting that such a decrease will make the distance to the Moon and its period of orbital motion increase with time, the variation is bounded by[5,7,8]

$$\dot{G} / G = (1 \pm 3) \times 10^{-12}/y < 7 \times 10^{-12}/y. \quad (5)$$

The exact level of improvement that we may expect with radio ranging techniques in improving these bounds on the deviations from General Relativity would clearly depend on the accuracy with which we can predict the "Standard Orbit" of the Moon under the assumption of standard gravitation. Uncertainties such as the tidal torque on the Moon which depends on its internal structure, the perturbation by planets and other bodies etc. may finally impose limits on the accuracy with which one can derive the PPN parameters. However, it is not unreasonable to hope that the study carried out over an extended period may not only improve considerably our knowledge of the parameters β , γ , $\dot{G} / G$, etc. but may also lead to a better understanding of the perturbing torques and forces in the solar system. In closing, we wish to remark that there are several experimental efforts underway which will attempt to test the weak equivalence principle in the laboratory and in space[11,12] so that the improved LRR results can be explicitly searched for the violation SEP and the Nordvett effect. In closing we would like to stress the importance of studies in gravitation keeping in mind the possible deep interconnections this field bears with the other fundamental fields of physics[13].

Acknowledgment

It is indeed a great pleasure to thank my colleagues Dr V.Radhakrishnan, Dr R.Nityananda, Dr C.R.Subrahmanya Dr N.Krishnan and Dr C.S.Unnikrishnan who gave their time generously for the discussion of the ideas presented in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.Nordvett Jr. Phys. Rev. 169, 1014, (1968), *ibid* 169, 1017, (1968).
- [2] R.H.Dicke in *Gravitation and Relativity*, eds. H.Y.Chiu and W.F.Hoffman, pp 1-16, Benjamin, New York.
- [3] C.M.Will, Ap.J. 163, 611, (1971).
- [4] C.O.Alley, in *Quantum Optics, Experimental Gravitation and Measurement Theory*, NATO-ASI Series B94, 429, (1981), ed. P.Meystre and M.O.Serelly, Plenum Press, New York and London.
- [5] J.G.Williams, X.X.Newhall and J.O.Dickey, Phys. Rev. D53, 6730 (1996).
- [6] A. Leick, *GPS Satellite Surveying*, Wiley-Interscience, 1996, New York, Proc. IEEE, 87, No.1 January 1999 Issue.
- [7] K.Nordvett, Jr. Proc. 8th Marcel Grossman Meeting on GR, B-1125, (1997), eds. T.Piran, R.Ruffini, World Scientific, Singapore.
- [8] C.M.Will, *Theory and experiment in gravitational physics*, Cambridge University Press 1983, Cambridge, GB.
- [9] E.G.Adelberger et al. Phys. Rev. D42, 3267 (1990).
- [10] Y.Su et al., Phys. Rev. D50, 3614 (1994).
- [11] R.Cowsik, N.Krishnan and C.S.Unnikrishnan, Proc. 8th Marcel Grossman Meeting on GR, Israel, B-1181 (1997).
- [12] Y.Jafry, *ibid* p-1207.
- [13] T.Damour, Meas. Sci. Technol. 10, 467 (1999).